

Description of Course Unit

| Course unit title | Seminar on Communication Studies | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Course unit code | PB081203 | | | | |
| Type of course unit (compulsory, optional) | Compulsory | | | | |
| Level of course unit (according to EQF: first cycle Bachelor, second cycle Master) | First cycle bachelor | | | | |
| Year of study when the course unit is delivered (if applicable) | First year | | | | |
| Semester/trimester when the course unit is delivered | Seventh semester | | | | |
| Number of ECTS credits allocated | 2.78 | | | | |
| Name of lecturer(s) | | | | | |
| Learning outcomes of the course unit | Students are able to implement the concept of scientific research and distinguish it from unscientific forms of writing, as well as ethics in scientific writing Students are able to implement the scientific writing process by determining the selected phenomenon and distinguishing writing with quantitative and qualitative approaches (deductive and inductive) Students are able to implement how to make research background, problem formulation, and research objectives Students are able to implement the determination of concepts and theories used to solve problems Students are able to implement the chosen research method and data collection method Students are able to proficient and use punctuation and spelling Indonesian and how to cite with APA guidelines. Students are able to design research proposals and present in the form of seminars. | | | | |
| Mode of delivery (face-to-face, distance learning) | Hybrid learning | | | | |
| Prerequisites and co-requisites (if applicable) | English language course | | | | |
| Course content | The concept of the nature of science, scientific research, research methods, and ethics Scientific writing process, research topics, differences between quantitative and qualitative research Making background based on the chosen research approach, determining the formulation of the problem, and research objectives. Implement concepts and theories in research | | | | |

| | 5. Research methods and methods of data collection, sampling, data analysis |
|--|---|
| Recommended or required reading and other learning | 1. Teknik Praktis Riset Komunikasi. Rachmat Kriyantono, Jakarta: Kencana, 2014 |
| resources/tools | 2. Pendekatan Statistik Modern: Untuk Ilmu Sosial, Muhammad Nisfiannoor, Salemba Humanika, 2010. |
| | Dr. Jalaluddin Rakhmat, M.Sc. Dan Dr. IDI Subandy Ibrahim, M.Si. 2017. Metode Penelitian Komunikasi Edisi Revisi. Simbiosa Rekatama Media |
| | 4. Creswell, Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, 4 th edition 2013, Sage |
| | Miles, Matthew B.;Saldaña, Johnny;Huberman, A. M,. 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis, Sage Publication |
| Planned learning activities and teaching methods | Collaborative learning, contextualizing, project based learning, self-learning and relating to real life examples and experiences |
| Language of instruction | English and Bahasa Indonesia |
| Assessment methods and criteria | Participatory activity, Make scientific communication proposals, quizzes, mid semester exams and presentations |

Seminar on Communication Studies rubric

Design, compile, and present research proposals

- 1. Design and compile a research proposal with topics in accordance with the field of Communication Science
- 2. Presenting a research proposal design in class in the form of a seminar

Make a research proposal

Make a research proposal with topics that are in accordance with the field of communication science with various topics with the following conditions:

- 1. Topics relevant to the field of Science and multimedia peculiarities
- 2. Systematics in accordance with the guidelines and complete
- 3. Complete proposal from Chapters 1-3
- 4. There is consistency from Chapters 1-3
- 5. The theory used is in accordance with the problem to be studied

Mid-term test for Seminar on Communication Studies.

Direction: complete the draft research proposal and review

- 1. Explain the reasons for choosing topics, theories, and methodologies in the proposal orally
- 2. Review research proposals and evaluation for improvement

| No | Criteria/Grade | 80-100 | 65-79 | 50-64 | 40-59 | 0-39 |
|----|-----------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Relevant topics | The topic of the scientific proposal is relevant to the field of communication science and multimedia peculiarities that contain background, determine problem formulation, and research objectives in accordance with the research approach | The topic of the scientific proposal is relevant to the field of communication science and multimedia peculiarities that contain background, determine problem formulation, and research objectives in accordance with the research approach | The topic of the scientific proposal is relevant to the field of communication science and multimedia peculiarities that contain background, determine problem formulation, and research objectives in accordance with the research | not relevant to the field of communication science and multimedia peculiarities that contain background, determine problem formulation, and | The topic of the scientific proposal is not relevant to the field of communication science and multimedia peculiarities that contain background, determine problem formulation, and research objectives are not in accordance with the research approach |

| 2 | Determination of concepts and theories | Determination of concepts and theories used to solve research problems in research proposals | Determination of concepts and theories used is not suitable for solving research problems | Determination of concepts and theories used is not suitable for solving research problems | concepts and theories used is not suitable for solving research | Determination of concepts and theories used is not appropriate to solve research problems |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 3 | | methods, paradigms, data collection methods, sampling methods, and data analysis in research proposals | collection methods, sampling methods, and data analysis in research proposals | research methods, paradigms, data collection methods, sampling methods, and | paradigms, data collection methods, sampling methods, and data analysis are appropriate in the | The determination of research methods, paradigms, data collection methods, sampling methods, and data analysis is not appropriate in the research proposal. |
| 4 | completeness of proposals | accordance with the guidelines and completeness of the proposal. Consistency is evident from Chapter 1 to Chapter 3 | accordance with the guidelines and completeness of the proposal. Consistency is evident from Chapter 1 to Chapter 3 | completeness of the proposal is good. Consistency is less pronounced from | research is not in accordance with the guidelines and the completeness of the proposal is good. Consistency is less pronounced from | The systematics of research is not in accordance with the guidelines and the completeness of the proposal is good. Consistency is not clear from Chapter 1 to Chapter 3 |

| 5 | Presentation | Able to explain the research | Able to explain the research | Able to explain the | Unable to explain the | Unable to explain the |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | design briefly and clearly, | design briefly and clearly, | research design briefly | research design briefly | research design briefly |
| | | explain the significance of | explain the significance of | and clearly, explain the | and clearly, explain the | and clearly, explain the |
| | | research and problems | research and problems | significance of research | significance of research | significance of research |
| | | appropriately, explain the | appropriately, explain the | and problems | and problems | and problems |
| | | relationship between theories | relationship between | appropriately, explain | appropriately, explain | appropriately, explain |
| | | and problems appropriately, and | theories and problems | the relationship between | the relationship between | the relationship between |
| | | answer the questions asked | incorrectly, and answer the | theories and problems | theories and problems | theories and problems |
| | | • | questions asked | incorrectly, and are less | incorrectly, and unable | incorrectly, and unable |
| | | | | able to answer the | to answer the questions | to answer the questions |
| | | | | questions asked | asked | asked |